for this is that each group has different objectives. Scientists want to do high-quality work, to publish credible, repeatable results and maintain a good standing in the community of their peers. A lot depends on their success at this: access to scholarly journals, research grants, tenure, and so on.

Journalists, by contrast, have a lot of shorterm goals. Certainly they want to print or air credible, accurate stories, but they have to meet far more stringent deadlines, numbered by days and hours rather than years and months. And they are not communicating their results among themselves, but to the general public, who knows no more, and often less, about science or environmental issues than the journalists.

Since print, radio, and TV reporters have so little time, they need you, their professional sources, to tell them the story as concisely and with as little jargon as possible. The operative rule is "explain something as though you were talking to a nephew or niece in grade school." Unless you're dealing with a reporter you know has been following the field and knows the basic terms and concepts, stay away from your professional vocabulary.

Break the story down into a few simple pieces. This is part of what a reporter does anyway; the more you can help do it, the less chance of inaccuracy and confusion later on.

Who are you, your associates and the other relevant players, be they people, laboratories, or agencies?

relevant players, be triey people, laboratories, or agencies?

What is the story? I know it hurts, but use as few qualifying statements as possible, only

What is the story? I know it hurts, but use as few qualifying statements as possible, only the absolutely necessary ones. The news media hunt for the clear and concrete, for what can be stated with conviction. Otherwise, they feel, the information-consuming public will not be interested in a tale of its, ands, or buts. And stay on the record as much as possible. Journalists and the public trust a real person more than an unnamed source.

Where and when? That may seem self-explanatory, except that many people don't understand that scientific discovery is a slow, painstaking process instead of an event such as the Hindenburg disaster. It's possible to convey this without losing the sense of immediacy and the intellectual excitement of having done good science.

Why? The most painful question for scientists and journalists both. Put the story, the

research-results, or the conflict in its larger context. What does it mean to other scientists, to the educated citizen worrying about the mortgage payments, to the nation, world.

When you talk to a journalist you are talking through him to the public, not to colleagues. The public is intelligent, but it doesn't speak your language. You need to be patient. And as painful as it can be to simplify work or an issue that means a lot to you, simplification is the only way you're going to reach people who would otherwise be relaxing with their children or watching Monday night football.

A few more general principles: be specific, be short, be concrete, use lots of examples. "Show, don't tell." The sad truth is that an anecdote conveys much more to the lay public than a fact, a generalization, or a lecture. "Tropical forests equivalent to an area the size of Austria are being cut down each year" means more than 80,000 km². A news story coded in visual terms communicates effectively the way a fairy tale or legend does, a fact that explains much of the latter's staying power.

Like you, a journalist is not free from outside constraints to tell the whole truth and nothing but. Besides deadlines, journalists have to compete with each other for column space or air time. Editors look for stories that are lively, interesting, and relevant to their readers as much as for significance and weightiness. Television is limited by its need for stories with good visual material, although fancy computer graphics have helped considerably with the hard-to-show stories.

Finally, as much as journalism plays an essential and corrective role in a viable democracy, it is also a business. TV and radio startions, newspapers, and magazines all need advertising to survive, and recent years have been hard on the industry. Important news stories are sometimes forced into forms or lengths that don't do them justice, because the media has to do its best to tell a story and at the same time attract an audience that will listen to it.

Lester Crystal, producer of public television's McNeill-Leiter Report, recently said (at one of those conservation meetings I spoke of) that journalism has not done a very good job of covering environmental issues like the biological diversity crisis. This is because by

the timescale of the average human being, it is a gradual thing. It is happening in remote places, and the problem seems complicated and remote from the everyday concerns of the mortgage payments.

Making ecology more visible and understood will be hard for these very reasons. Its processes are unseen and remote even though they affect us constantly. They are complicated to explain and intricate, involving cycles and multiple feedbacks that evolve slowly and subtly—just the opposite of what an assignments editor sees as a crackling good story.

Yet ecological literacy is arguably as crucial now in the "viable democracy," even the "viable world," as economic literacy and nuclear literacy, and for many of the same reasons. For those reasons, I wish ecologists and the ESA the best of luck in their quest.

Allan Chen
Discover Magazine
Time-Life Building
Rockefeller Center
New York, NY 10020 USA

Always Prepare for the Worst

Some of the greatest catastrophes in graduate education could have been avoided by a little intelligent foresight. Be cynical. Assume that your proposed research might not work, and that one of your faculty advisors might become unsupportive—or even hostile. Plan for alternatives.

Nobody Cares About You

In fact, some professors care about you and some don't. Most probably do, but all are busy, which means in practice they cannot care about you because they don't have the time. You are on your own, and you had better get used to it. This has a lot of implications. Here are two important ones:

 You had better decide early on that you are in charge of your program. The degree you get is yours to create. Your major professor can advise you and protect you to a certain extent from bureaucratic and financial demons, but he should not tell you what to do. That is up to you. If you need advice, ask for it: that's his job.

 If you want to pick somebody's brains, you'll have to go to him or her, because they won't be coming to you.

You Must Know Why Your Work Is Important

When you first arrive, read and think widely and exhaustively for a year. Assume that

SOME MODEST ADVICE FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS

everything you read is hogwash until the author manages to convince you that it isn't. If you do not understand something, don't feel bad—it's not your fault, it's the author's. He didn't write clearly enough.

If some authority figure tells you that you aren't accomplishing anything because you aren't taking courses and you aren't gathering data, tell him what you're up to. If he persists, tell him to bug off, because you know what you're doing, dammit.

don't know-and I mean really know-why work. It is also important to the dynamic of choice of a field in which to carry out a life's you're doing it? does it make to start gathering data if you new area of research. Remember, what sense science that your entry be well thought out. Ph.D. work will shape your future. It is your make it come out beautifully. Secondly, your want to work on it, defend it, fight for it, and This is one point where you can start a whole have that possessiveness that makes lem, you won't feel that it is yours, you won't reasons. First, if someone hands you a probarrive at this decision independently for two constitutes an important problem. You must new ideas into science. Here you decide what development and to maintaining the flow of patient. This stage is critical to your personal asking yourself, "What am I doing here?" Be your own research. You will continually you will feel guilty about not getting going on This is a hard stage to get through because ٥ þ

Here are a few things to watch out for: knows what else will push you around like a watch out, the pressures of course work, large, docile molecule in Brownian motion. teaching, language requirements and who that will be made on your time. If you don't from being buffeted by the many demands stance early in your graduate career to keep You must establish a firm psychologica

an infinite regress of possible improvements. always be made better, which gets you into cide what a "good" thesis is. A thesis can nature of the thesis problem. You have to de-No one does. It stems from the open-ended you try, you won't be able to avoid this one. its power to convince you that your value as *a person is being judged.* No matter how hard The initiation-rite nature of the Ph.D. and

at your disposal. money, energy, encouragement, and though it as good as you can within the limits of time as there are in everything. Settle down to make fect" thesis. There are going to be flaws in it, Recognize that you cannot produce a "per-

probably are good enough after all. by successfully jumping each hurdle, that you your thesis, but you also convince yourself, Not only do you thereby clear the decks for nations out of the way as soon as possible. all of your course requirements and examiall the explicit hurdles early in the game. Get You can alleviate this problem by jumping

be treated like a colleague. The paper requirebe treated like one. status of a colleague. Act like one and you'll ments are the explicit hurdle you will have to subservient behavior. Expect and demand to jump, but the implicit hurdle is attaining the Nothing elicits dominant behavior like

good reasons to do this. if something better comes up. There are three development. Be prepared to quit for awhile that you have at hand for shaping your own Graduate school is only one of the tools

opment, an opportunity to work as an aide Ph.D. work, a contract for software develdropping out. Examples include field work in involves a long enough block of time to justify more productive and challenging than any-Africa on a project not directly related to your thing you could do in graduate school and that First, a real opportunity could arise that is

> paper or magazine as a science journalist. ence policy, or an internship at a major news the nation's capital in the formulation of sci-

to give your best. perate and insecure, and you will not be able chologically labile, inclined to get a bit desschool as your only option, you will be psygraduate student. If you perceive graduate can you function with true independence as a Secondly, only by keeping this option open

science is not turning you on, perhaps you should try something else. However, do not graduate shool. There are a lot of interesting and sympathetic faculty before making up you Be sure to talk to fellow graduate students go off half-cocked. This is a serious decision and in some the job market is a lot better. If things to do in life besides being a scientist denying resources to others by staying in for you, then you are only hurting yourself and Thirdly, if things really are not working out

Avoid Taking Lectures—They're Usually

engagement, not passive listening and regura sound basis. Right now, you need to learn how to think for yourself. This requires active dation may seem counterintuitive, but it has ditional courses you take. This recommenyour field, then minimize the number of ad-If you already have a good background in

blocks of time, and as much one-on-one inthinks more clearly than you do. teraction as you can get with someone who To learn to think, you need two things: large

to take part. They'll probably be delighted well motivated, then reading and discussion have to do any work.\How can you lose? for teaching a course for which they don't love your initiative—and it will give them credi After all, it will be interesting for them, they'll a subject of interest, and invite a few faculty with a few colleagues, organize a seminar on lectures. It is often a good idea to get together is much more efficient and broadening than Courses just get in the way, and if you are

courses that teach specific skills: e.g., electron microscopy, histological technique, scu These comments of course do not apply to

> Write a Proposal and Get It Criticized A research proposal serves many func

tions.

 By summarizing your year's thinking and something out of it. reading, it ensures that you have gotten

Ŋ It makes it possible for you to defend your demonstration that you used your time independence by providing a concrete

be circulated to a few good minds. Only be put down in a well-organized, clearly It literally makes it possible for others to with a proposal before them can they give and concisely written document that can complex to be communicated verballyyou constructive criticism. too subtle, and in too many parts. It must help you. What you have in mind is too

You need practice writing. We all do.

Having located your problem and satisserve support. One way to organize a are not totally demented and, in fact, dehave to convince your colleagues that you proposal to accomplish this goal is: ifed yourself that it is important, you will A brief statement of what you pro-

b) Why it is important scientifically, not why it is important to you personally,

pose, couched as a question or hy-

of ideas in your field. and how it fits into the broader scheme

c) A literature review that substantiates

of things to do when something doesn't Describe your problem as a series of problems, and you have available a list take the longest or cause the most work, you identify the part that will lower the energy threshold to begin always know what to do next, you lem into a series of smaller ones, you down. By transforming the big probclude alternatives at each stage. Line analyses that will permit you to exvise experiments, observations or subproblems that can each be at them up and start knocking them tacked in a series of small steps. De-

6) Write down a list of the major problems that could arise and ruin the whole proj

> that you will do if things actually do go ect. Then write down a list of alternatives

7) It is not a bad idea to design two or three ects in succession after the first fail to design and execute two or three projclude some of them. It is much more efbut in fact practical problems may exviding appropriate tests for your ideas, practical reasons. ficient to discover this at the start than equally good chances on paper of promodel systems that all seem to have of succeeding. There could be two or three which one has the best practical chance projects and start them in parallel to see ₫

Pick a date for the presentation of your gets worse. on like this for awhile, then it gradually terror at this point. Don't worry—it goes use your time. You can expect a stab of ing a schedule of how you are going to thesis and work backwards in construct-

Spend two to three weeks writing the proas you can. are tough, and respond as constructively you can find. Hope that their comments then give it to as many good critics as posal after you've finished your reading,

Get at it. You already have the introduconly been here 12 to 18 months. tion to your thesis written, and you have

Manage Your Advisors

appreciate it and be impressed. esting presence, not a pest. At least once a doing, but do not bother them. Be an interpages long on your own initiative. They will year, submit a written progress report 1-2 Keep your advisors aware of what you are

first place. Most important is their interest in careful about choosing your advisors in the professors, change advisors early on. Be very problems. If you do not get along with your Anticipate and work to avoid personality

Types of Theses

work, or lay the foundations for a new reamined assumptions of an important body of top of existing but shaky ideas. Go right to the foundations and test the implicit but unex-Never elaborate a baroque excrescence on

search thrust. There are, of course, other types of theses:

- The classical thesis involves the formulation of a deductive model that makes novel and surprising predictions which you then test objectively and confirm under conditions unfavorable to the hypothesis. Rarely done and highly prized.
- A critique of the foundations of an important body of research. Again, rare and valuable and a sure winner if properly executed.
- 3) The purely theoretical thesis. This takes courage, especially in a department loaded with bedrock empiricists, but can be pulled off if you are genuinely good at math and logic.
- 4) Gather data that someone else can synthesize. This is the worst kind of thesis, but in a pinch it will get you through. To certain kinds of people lots of data, even if they don't test a hypothesis, will always be impressive. At least the results show that you worked hard, a fact with which you can blackmail your committee into giving you the doctorate.

There are really as many kinds of theses as there are graduate students. The four types listed serve as limiting cases of the good, the bad, and the ugly. Doctoral work is a chance for you to try your hand at a number of different research styles and to discover which suits you best: theory, field work, or lab work. I deally, you will balance all three and become the rare person who can translate the theory for the empiricists and the real world for the theoreticians.

Start Publishing Early

ence. This sounds brutal, but there are good Without them, you can forget a career in scidrive to know the truth, but you won't be able animals, your curiosity about nature, and your into this game out of your love for plants and complete. You must master the skill of writing of the job, and until it is done, the work is not they in effect do not exist. Publishing is part and fulfillment. Science is shared knowledge ternationally recognized, refereed journals. You need to publish substantial articles in into get a job and stay in it unless you publish. clear, concise, well-organized scientific pa Until the results are effectively communicated reasons for it, and it can be a joyful challenge Don't kid yourself. You may have gotter

> pers. Here are some tips about getting into the publishing game.

- Co-author a paper with someone who has more experience. Approach a professor who is working on an interesting project and offer your services in return for a junior authorship. He'll appreciate the help and will give you lots of good comments on the paper because his name will be on it.
- 2) Do not expect your first paper to be world-shattering. A lot of eminent people began with a minor piece of work. The amount of information reported in the average scientific paper may be less than you think. Work up to the major journals by publishing one or two short—but competent—papers in less well-recognized journals. You will quickly discover that no matter what the reputation of the journal, all editorial boards defend the quality of their product with jealous pride—and they should!
- If it is good enough, publish your research proposal as a critical review paper. If it is publishable, you've probably chosen the right field to work in.
- 4) Do not write your thesis as a monograph. Write it as a series of publishable manuscripts, and submit them early enough so that at least one or two chapters of your thesis can be presented as reprints of published articles.
- 5) Buy and use a copy of Strunk and White's Elements of Style. Read it before you sit down to write your first paper, then read it again at least once a year for the next three or four years. Day's book, How to Write a Scientific Paper, is also excellent.
- 6) Get your work reviewed before you submit it to the journal by someone who has the time to criticize your writing as well as your ideas and organization.

Don't Look Down on a Master's Thesis

The only reason not to do a master's is to fulfill the generally false conceit that you're too good for that sort of thing. The master's has a number of advantages.

 t gives you a natural way of changing schools if you want to. You can use this to broaden your background. Moreover, your ideas on what constitutes an important problem will probably be changing rapidly at this stage of your development. Your knowledge of who is doing what, and where, will be ex-

panding rapidly. If you decide to change universities, this is the best way to do it. You leave behind people satisifed with your performance and in a position to provide well-informed letters of recommendation. You arrive with most of your Ph.D. requirements satisfied

- 2) You get much-needed experience in research and writing in a context less threatening than doctoral research. You break yourself in gradually. In research, you learn the size of a soluble problem. People who have done master's work usually have a much easier time with the Ph.D.
- You get a publication.
- 4) What's your hurry? If you enter the job market too quickly, you won't be well prepared. Better to go a bit more slowly, build up a substantial background, and present yourself a bit later as a person with more and broader experience.

They were and are a group of real gentlemen.

Postscript

This comment was originally entitled "Cynical aids towards getting a graduate degree, or psychological and practical tools to use in acquiring and maintaining control over your own life." It originated as a handout for the Ecolunch Seminar in the Department of Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, on a Monday in the spring of 1976. Ecolunch was, and is, a Berkeley institution, a forum where graduate students present their work in progress and receive constructive criticism. At the start of the semester, however, no one is ready to talk. This was such a time.

On Friday morning at Museum Coffee, Frank Pitelka, who was in charge of Ecolunch for that semester, asked me to make the presentation on the following Monday. "Asked" is probably a misleading representation of Frank's style that morning. Frank bullied me into it. I had Just given a departmental seminar on the Ph.D. work I had done at British Columbia, and did not have much new to say about biology. Frank's style brought out the rebel in me. I agreed on the condition that I had complete freedom to say whatever I wanted to, and that the theme would be advice to graduate students. Frank agreed without apparent qualms. Then I charged upstairs to Ray Huey's office to plot the attack.

I whipped out an outline, Ray responded with a more optimistic and complementary

version (see the following Commentary article), and I wrote a draft at white heat that
afternoon. We felt like plotters. We were plotters. There were acts of self-definition in the
air. On Monday, I recall that I made a pretty
aggressive presentation in which, to emphasize how busy faculty members were, I kept
looking at my watch. Near the end I glanced
at my watch one last time, said I had to rush
off to an appointment, left the room suddenly
without taking questions, and slammed the
door. They waited. I never came back, but
Ray took over and presented his alternative
view. Ray told me later that Bill Lidicker turned
to him and said, "You mean he's not coming
back?" I wasn't. Fortunately, they took it well.

ever, but that many of the details do not apply graduate students. On rereading it, I find that turned out to be an nth generation version original, and Pete kindly sent me a copy, which a one-time skit, but out notes were xeroxed outside the context of large American univergrapevine convinced me that there might acwith marginal notes by a number of different tually be a demand for them. I had lost survival for ten years in the graduate student gers suggesting that we publish the notes. Its of 1986 I got a letter from Pete Morin at Rutspread around the United States. In the fall and passed around, and eventually they them, having regarded the presentations as for some years. We never intended to publish way now, having been professors ourselves of our pieces. We would not write them that agree with the basic message as much as I mention these things to explain the tone

Ten years later, I have one afterthought.

Publish Regularly, but Not Too Much

The pressure to publish has corroded the quality of journals and the quality of intellectual life. It is far better to have published a few papers of high quality that are widely read, than it is to have published a long string of minor articles that are quickly forgotten. You do have to be realistic. You will need publications to get a post-doc, and you will need more to get a faculty position and then tenure. However, to the extent that you can gather your work together in substantial packages of real quality, you will be doing both yourself and your field a favor.

courage and stubbornness, but you won't republished receive 90% of the citations. A pawell—and you've taken enough time to do the refereed Journals each year, you're doing very fully considered, substantial papers in good gret it. If you are publishing one or two care-Go for quality, not for quantity. This will take per that is not cited is time and effort wasted. little or not at all. About 10% of the articles make any difference. Most papers are cited Most people publish only a few papers that

Acknowledgments

decade, and to Pete Morin for suggesting that kept these ideas in circulation during the last to the various unknown graduate students who a number of the comments presented here, we write them for publication. opportunity, to Ray Huey for being a co-conspirator and sounding board and for providing Thanks to Frank Pitelka for providing an

Some Useful References

- Day, R. A. 1983. How to write and publish a scientific paper. Second edition. ISI 181 pp. wise and witty. Press, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Smith, R. V. 1984. Graduate research—a 182 pp. complete and practical. Press, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. guide for students in the sciences. ISI
- Strunk, W., Jr., and E. B. White. 1979. The elements of style. Third edition. Macmilthe paradigm of concision. lan, New York, New York, USA. 92 pp

Professor of Zoology Stephen C. Stearns

Rheinsprung 9 CH-4051 Basel, Switzerland Zoologisches Institut der Universtät Basel

REPLY TO STEARNS: SOME ACYNICAL ADVICE FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS

so rashly stormed out of Ecolunch. outline, which I intended as a direct challenge second response was to draft an alternative to Steve's, and which I presented after Steve negative view of graduate education." My standards! You can't possibly present such a say, "Steve, this is really cynical, even by your outline for his talk, my first response was to When Steve showed me the preliminary

of views of how to be a graduate student. but because we want to emphasize a diversity essarily correct (I am pleased to note that Steve now recants his views, at least in part), main convinced that our old views are necpresentations. We do so, not because we recounterpoint format and flavor of our original serve the intentionally argumentative, pointlines into text, Steve and I have tried to prethat amusing skit. In transcribing our old out-A decade has passed since we performed

to be a graduate student. Each of us is ar Our main point is this: there is no one way

> is productive for one student may be disasgoals, capacities, and experiences. Advice that other views, but don't accept them without trous for another. So think about these and individual—each of us has individual needs

Initial Premise

presents challenges as well as opportunities metamorphosis, indeed. Not surprisingly, it for you to change from being someone who *reads to someone who is read.* That is a major Graduate school provides an opportunity

Always Expect the Best

experience it. Instead, develop a positive attitude, decide what you want (T.A. position, department or your major professor. In short side your university whenever possible for advice and for funds. Don't merely rely on your research funds, etc.), and then get it. Go out If you anticipate the worst, you are likely to

be active and independent, not passive and

Some People Do Care

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

Avoid being used, however. you can share (and of course use yourself) multivariate statistics, electrophoresis) that you make yourself valuable. Obtain a skill you act like a professional (see below) and if People are more likely to care about you

come excited about your career. different viewpoints and techniques and to beyou have more in common and spend more are likely to learn far more from graduate stuuate students, especially ones who are doing tions as an opportunity to be introduced time with them. In short, use these interacdents than from your advisor, if only because interesting work and who are enJoying it. You Seek out and collaborate with fellow grad-ಕ

science is often enhanced by an understand ence is an historical activity, and progress in it. Moreover, they can give you a personal appreciation for the history of your field. Sci. and often have the time and interest to share have a wealth of knowledge and experience, sors, at least ones who are still active. They Seek out emeritus or near-emeritus profes-

On "Exhaustive" Thinking

ing your research with a problem that your advisor "hands you." cess can sometimes be accelerated by startto think independently. That maturation propeople simply need time before they are ready so as quickly as possible!). Moreover, some up deficiencies in your course background (do make better use of your first year by making and emotionally prepared. You may instead mentally exhausting if you aren't academically Thinking "widely and exhaustively" can be

understand why you are doing a particular and do research independently, and you must Ultimately, however, you must begin to think

On Psychological Problems

minimize those problems with some simple likely in the first year or two. You can often riods of intellectual insecurity or stress, most Expect them. Everyone will go through pe-

> or slowed down by academic hurdles. pressed by students who aren't intimidated Keep in mind that faculty are inevitably imresearch will improve once you pass all lanas possible. You will be surprised at how much guage requirements and qualifying exams. your attitude toward graduate school and your 1) Get requirements out of the way as soon

can first obtain a Master's degree. advisors sometimes helps, especially if you take a leave of absence. Changing schools or may need to take some extra time or even sure to complete your Ph.D. in 4 years. academically. So, fight directives and pres-2) Some people simply need time to mature õ

Becoming a Professional

are published, etc. meet with visiting seminar speakers, correerences and of addresses, attend meetings, lems, send out copies of your articles as they spond with people working on related probcollection, develop a computerized list of reflife. Start to accumulate a library and reprint one who will be a biologist for the rest of your Think of yourself as a professional, some-

as if it is potentially publishable. Treat each project (even a literature review)

faculty in their department.) graduate students have not read most of their professional if you act like one. They are a advisor's papers, or those of other relevant discuss them with the professor. (Note: Many careers. Read those papers, then go back and of others) that influenced their thinking and recommend key papers (their own, or those reprints and reference files, or ask them to their advice on efficient ways to organize your good source of suggestions in this regard. Ask Faculty are more likely to treat you as

graduate career at one university. These outspecial and especially if you are spending your (or a field station), especially if a course is term and take courses at another university inar of your thesis work. If possible, spend a unteer (if appropriate) to give an informal sem-Go on a tour of other universities, meet with spective on biology and on your own work. and the university, thereby gaining a new perfore, develop contacts outside the department as a colleague rather than as a student. Therefaculty may have a difficult time treating you faculty and students working in your area, vol-Despite your best efforts (and theirs), the

side contacts not only broaden your perspectives but may also increase your chances for a collaborative research project, a postdoo, or even a job.

Join appropriate scientific societies, attend their yearly meetings, give papers or posters, get to know your future colleagues. Meetings can be exciting and a chance to find out what is new. Moreover, you get practice at speaking in front of a "foreign" (e.g., nonsympathetic) audience.

On Courses

Never pass up a lecture course from a great professor, even if it is somewhat outside your main area. Seek courses that challenge you to think rather than to memorize. Auditing courses can often be an efficient way to get an overview of a field, at least if you are self-disciplined.

Take short courses that can save you time over the years. Many libraries give instruction on efficient literature searches (see also Smith's book, cited by Steve); and most universities offer introductions to computers, statistical packages, etc. If you don't know these crucial skills already, *immediately learn speed typing and word-processing.*

On Proposals and Grants

Grant writing is a key skill. Ask professors for copies of their successful grant proposals (perhaps ask them for unsuccessful ones as well!). In other words, find out what makes a good proposal before you start writing; don't waste time "reinventing the wheel."

Be a scholar. Showing that you know and understand the literature makes a good impression, and it gives you an awareness of the key issues in your field.

Use the working proposal Steve describes as a basis for a real grant proposal. Many societies, governmental agencies (NSF), and organizations give grants to graduate students—ask your major professor and other graduate studenets for the names of such organizations. Prod your department or advisor to start a permanent file on such grants.

Getting your own grant has important benefits beyond simply funding your research. (1) It gives you something to add to your C.V. (2) It helps establish your independence from your

advisor and your department. (3) It really impresses your advisor and your committee!

Interactions with Your Advisors

Your advisory committee is there to help you. You can encourage this by taking their advice seriously. If they recommend a paper, read it. Not surprisingly, faculty will be disinclined to give you additional help (and write-strong letters of recommendation) if you habitually ignore their advice. Moreover, practice reciprocal altruism—when they ask for your help (to review a paper or perhaps a proposal of theirs), give it. Seek a symbiotic rather than a parasitic relationship.

On Theses

(Tangent. Even after a decade, I can still hear Steve pontificating the first sentence in this section. His expression, "a baroque excrescence," is my fondest auditory memory of Berkeley.)

Onward. A thesis shouldn't be the culmination of your research career, but its beginning. You probably never really had your creativity challenged as an undergraduate. Here is your opportunity. Push yourself—you'll respect yourself more than if you are too cautious and try a no-risk project.

Remember that your future research directions need not be constrained by the topic of your thesis. In fact, your thesis experiences may convince you that your interests and talents are elsewhere. Use a Master's-to-Ph.D. switch or a postdoc to change directions, if appropriate.

Publishing

Contrary to widespread opinion, writing and publishing can be fun. More important, the process of writing is a positive learning experience—my understanding of my own research is invariably enhanced while developing a paper or grant proposal.

Writing and publishing aren't always fun, of course, but you can minimize problems by being careful, by organizing your thoughts before you write, by taging pride in crafting sentences carefully, and by having people critically review your papers before you submit them for publication. This review process should be sequential: First, give it at an "Ecolunch." Second, write a draft and have your

it to one or a few experts in the field. Fourth submit the manuscript.
(Having now been an editor of several journals and books, I would add several caveats

fellow graduate students and advisor review it critically. Third (optional, but advised), send

deadlines and follow instructions.) tors cherish contributors who actually meet that is neat and well designed is easy to read.) do your very best to meet all deadlines. Edi If you are writing an invited chapter for a book figures for accuracy and neatness. (A paper fully check the citations in the text against the and not necessarily to be trusted. Also, care-Authors" for the Journal; if you use the wrong literature cited section. Check text, tables, and journal, or that (2) your work style is casual paper was previously rejected by another format, the editor will suspect that (1) your Make certain you follow the "Instructions to nals and books, I would add several caveats. (Having now been an editor of several jour-

Publishing is an important responsibility—you share your insights with others. It is also essential. People occasionally get good jobs or a grant despite a weak or nonexistent list of publications, but the odds of this happening are slim, indeed.

Although overpublishing is a mistake (as Steve notes), don't be embarrassed by writing one or a few minor papers—ample precedents exist. Moreover, we are often our own worst judge of what is truly significant (see Bartholomew 1982). (After gaining the benefits of the experience, you can eventually obscure any truly trivial publications by using the following widely used technique—simply change your official "List of Publications" to a "Selected List of Publications" or to a "List of Publications Since 19xx"!)

Niscellaneous

Watch for and take advantage of opportunities. If someone is organizing a special field trip, ask if you can go along and help. If there is a job search in your department, look through the applications and learn first hand

what makes a good C.V. and what makes a clear statement of research and teaching interests. (Note: Not all departments permit graduate students to read application files.) Find out your advisor's opinion of the candidates' job seminars. Thus when you start applying for jobs, you will have some idea of what works and what doesn't.

Concluding Remarks

Appearances to the contrary, graduate students need not be oppressed. You actually have as much freedom as you will ever have (except perhaps as a postdoc or during a precious sabbatical). Be positive, not cynical.

Postscript

"Ten years later," I wish to emphasize one comment and then to make one addition. First, do spend time around students and faculty who are doing significant research and who are excited about their careers. In short, surround yourself with good people. Enthusiasm is contagious. Second, learn to respect and to practice the art of being organized. Thus, be efficient and don't waste time. This will almost certainly enhance your productivity and your enthusiasm for your career.

Acknowledgments

I am, of course, grateful to Steve Stearns, whose outrageous views prompted this reply.

T. Garland, Jr. made useful comments on a draft.

Literature Cited

Bartholomew, G. A. 1982. Scientific innovation and creativity: a zoologist's point of view. American Zoologist 22:227–235.

Raymond B. Huey
Department of Zoology NJ-15
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195